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Motivation and general concepts

The **Finite Element Method (FEM)** is

- **generally speaking**: a powerful computational technique for the solution of differential and integral equations that arise in various fields of engineering and applied sciences;

- **mathematically**: a generalization of the classical variational (Ritz) and weighted-residual (Galerkin, least-squares, etc.) methods.
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The **Finite Element Method (FEM)** is

- **generally speaking**: a powerful computational technique for the solution of differential and integral equations that arise in various fields of engineering and applied sciences;
- **mathematically**: a generalization of the classical variational (Ritz) and weighted-residual (Galerkin, least-squares, etc.) methods.

**Motivation**

Most of the real problems:

- are defined on domains that are geometrically complex,
- may have different boundary conditions on different portions of the boundary.

Therefore, it is usually impossible (or difficult):

1. to find a solution analytically (so one must resort to approximate methods),
2. to generate approximation functions required in the traditional variational methods.

An answer to these problems is a **finite-element approach**.
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Main concept of FEM

A problem domain can be viewed as an assemblage of simple geometric shapes, called finite elements, for which it is possible to systematically generate the approximation functions.
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1. **Discretization of the domain** into a set of finite elements (mesh generation).

2. **Weighted-integral or weak formulation** of the differential equation over a typical finite element (subdomain).

3. **Development of the finite element model** of the problem using its weighted-integral or weak form. The finite element model consists of a set of algebraic equations among the unknown parameters (*degrees of freedom*) of the element.

4. **Assembly of finite elements** to obtain the global system (i.e., for the total problem) of algebraic equations – for the unknown global degrees of freedom.

5. **Imposition of essential boundary conditions**.

6. **Solution of the system of algebraic equations** to find (approximate) values in the global degrees of freedom.

7. **Post-computation** of solution and quantities of interest.
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Model problem

\[\text{(O)DE:} \quad - \frac{d}{dx} \left( \alpha(x) \frac{du(x)}{dx} \right) + \gamma(x) u(x) = f(x) \quad \text{for } x \in (a, b)\]

- \(\alpha(x), \gamma(x), f(x)\) are the known data of the problem: the first two quantities result from the *material properties* and *geometry* of the problem whereas the third one depends on *source* or *loads*,

- \(u(x)\) is the solution to be determined; it is also called **dependent variable** of the problem (with \(x\) being the **independent variable**).
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\[(O)DE: \quad - \frac{d}{dx} \left( \alpha(x) \frac{du(x)}{dx} \right) + \gamma(x) u(x) = f(x) \quad \text{for } x \in (a, b)\]

- \(\alpha(x), \gamma(x), f(x)\) are the known data of the problem,
- \(u(x)\) is the solution to be determined; it is also called **dependent variable** of the problem (with \(x\) being the **independent variable**).

The domain of this 1D problem is an interval \((a, b)\); the points \(x = a\) and \(x = b\) are the boundary points where **boundary conditions** are imposed, for examples, as follows

\[
\text{BCs:} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
q(a) n_x(a) = \frac{d}{dx} (a) = \hat{q}, \quad \text{(Neumann b.c.)} \\
\quad u(b) = \hat{u}. \quad \text{(Dirichlet b.c.)}
\end{array} \right.
\]

- \(\hat{q}\) and \(\hat{u}\) are the given boundary values,
- \(n_x\) is the component of the outward unit vector normal to the boundary. In the 1D case there is only one component and:
  \(n_x(a) = -1, n_x(b) = +1.\)
Model problem

(O)DE: \[-\frac{d}{dx}\left(\alpha(x) \frac{du(x)}{dx}\right) + \gamma(x) u(x) = f(x) \quad \text{for } x \in (a, b)\] 

BCs: \[\begin{cases} (q(a) n_x(a) =) \quad -\alpha(a) \frac{du}{dx}(a) = \hat{q}, \quad \text{(Neumann b.c.)} \\ u(b) = \hat{u}. \quad \text{(Dirichlet b.c.)} \end{cases}\]

Moreover:
- \(q(x) \equiv \alpha(x) \frac{du(x)}{dx}\) is the so-called secondary variable specified on the boundary by the Neumann boundary condition also known as the second kind or natural boundary condition,
- \(u(x)\) is the primary variable specified on the boundary by the Dirichlet boundary condition also known as the first kind or essential boundary condition.
## Examples of different physics problems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$u$ (primary var.)</th>
<th>$\alpha$ (material data)</th>
<th>$f$ (source, load)</th>
<th>$q$ (secondary var.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Heat transfer</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>temperature</td>
<td>thermal conductance</td>
<td>heat generation</td>
<td>heat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Flow through porous medium</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fluid-head</td>
<td>permeability</td>
<td>infiltration</td>
<td>source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Flow through pipes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pressure</td>
<td>pipe resistance</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Flow of viscous fluids</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>velocity</td>
<td>viscosity</td>
<td>pressure gradient</td>
<td>shear stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Elastic cables</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>displacement</td>
<td>tension</td>
<td>transversal force</td>
<td>point force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Elastic bars</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>displacement</td>
<td>axial stiffness</td>
<td>axial force</td>
<td>point force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Torsion of bars</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>angle of twist</td>
<td>shear stiffness</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>torque</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Electrostatics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>electric potential</td>
<td>dielectric constant</td>
<td>charge density</td>
<td>electric flux</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Let:
- \( \Omega = (a, b) \) be an open set (an open interval in case of 1D problems);
- \( \Gamma \) be the boundary of \( \Omega \), that is, \( \Gamma = \{a, b\} \);
- \( \Gamma = \Gamma_q \cup \Gamma_u \) where, e.g., \( \Gamma_q = \{a\} \) and \( \Gamma_u = \{b\} \) are disjoint parts of the boundary (i.e., \( \Gamma_q \cap \Gamma_u = \emptyset \)) relating to the Neumann and Dirichlet boundary conditions, respectively;
- (the data of the problem): \( f: \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \), \( \alpha: \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \), \( \gamma: \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \);
- (the values prescribed on the boundary): \( \hat{q}: \Gamma_q \to \mathbb{R} \), \( \hat{u}: \Gamma_u \to \mathbb{R} \).
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- (the data of the problem): \( f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \), \( \alpha : \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \), \( \gamma : \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \);
- (the values prescribed on the boundary): \( \hat{q} : \Gamma_q \to \mathbb{R} \), \( \hat{u} : \Gamma_u \to \mathbb{R} \).

Boundary Value Problem (BVP)

Find \( u = ? \) satisfying

**differential eq.:** \(- (\alpha u')' + \gamma u = f \) in \( \Omega = (a, b) \),

**Neumann b.c.:** \( \alpha u' n_x = \hat{q} \) on \( \Gamma_q = \{a\} \),

**Dirichlet b.c.:** \( u = \hat{u} \) on \( \Gamma_u = \{b\} \).
Boundary Value Problem and the strong form

**Boundary Value Problem (BVP)**

Find $u = ?$ satisfying

**differential eq.:** $-(\alpha u')' + \gamma u = f$ in $\Omega = (a, b)$,

**Neumann b.c.:** $\alpha u' n_x = \hat{q}$ on $\Gamma_q = \{a\}$,

**Dirichlet b.c.:** $u = \hat{u}$ on $\Gamma_u = \{b\}$.

**Definition (Strong form)**

The classical **strong form** of a boundary-value problem consists of:

- the **differential equation** of the problem,
- the **Neumann boundary conditions**, i.e., the natural conditions imposed on the secondary dependent variable (which involves the first derivative of the dependent variable).

The Dirichlet (essential) boundary conditions must be satisfied **a priori**.
The weak form

Derivation of weak form and the equivalence to strong form

Derivation of the equivalent weak form consists of the three steps presented below.

1. Write the **weighted-residual statement** for the equation.
2. Trade differentiation from \( u \) to \( \delta u \) using **integration by parts**.
3. **Use the Neumann boundary condition** \( (\alpha \ u' \ n_x = \hat{q} \text{ on } \Gamma_q) \) and the property of test function \( (\delta u = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_u) \) for the boundary term.
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   \int_{a}^{b} \left[ - (\alpha u')' + \gamma u - f \right] \delta u \, dx = 0.
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1. Write the **weighted-residual statement** for the equation:

\[
\int_{a}^{b} \left[ - (\alpha u')' + \gamma u - f \right] \delta u \, dx = 0.
\]

Here:
- \( \delta u \) (the weighting function) belongs to the space of **test functions**,
- \( u \) (the solution) belongs to the space of **trial functions**.

2. Trade differentiation from \( u \) to \( \delta u \) using **integration by parts**:

\[
\left[ - \alpha u' \, \delta u \right]_{a}^{b} + \int_{a}^{b} \left[ \alpha u' \, \delta u' + \gamma u \, \delta u - f \, \delta u \right] \, dx = 0.
\]

Here, the boundary term may be written as

\[
\left[ - \alpha u' \, \delta u \right]_{a}^{b} = \left[ - \alpha u' \, \delta u \right]_{x=b} - \left[ - \alpha u' \, \delta u \right]_{x=a} = \left[ - \alpha u' \, n_x \delta u \right]_{x=b} + \left[ - \alpha u' \, n_x \delta u \right]_{x=a} = \left[ - \alpha u' \, n_x \delta u \right]_{x=\{a,b\}}.
\]
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1. Write the \textit{weighted-residual statement} for the equation:

\[
\int_a^b \left[ - (\alpha u')' + \gamma u - f \right] \delta u \, dx = 0.
\]

2. Trade differentiation from \( u \) to \( \delta u \) using \textit{integration by parts}:

\[
\left[ - \alpha u' \delta u \right]_a^b + \int_a^b \left[ \alpha u' \delta u' + \gamma u \delta u - f \delta u \right] \, dx = 0.
\]

The integration by parts \textit{weakens the differentiability requirement} for the trial functions \( u \) (i.e., for the solution).

3. Use the \textit{Neumann boundary condition} \( (\alpha u' n_x = \hat{q} \text{ on } \Gamma_q) \) and the \textit{property of test function} \( (\delta u = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_u) \) for the boundary term

\[
\left[ -\alpha u' n_x \delta u \right]_{x=\{a,b\}} = \left[ -\alpha u' n_x \delta u \right]_{x=a} + \left[ -\alpha u' n_x \delta u \right]_{x=b} = \left[ -\hat{q} \delta u \right]_{x=a}.
\]
The weak form

Derivation of weak form and the equivalence to strong form

1. Write the **weighted-residual statement** for the equation.
2. Trade differentiation from \( u \) to \( \delta u \) using integration by parts.

The integration by parts weakens the differentiability requirement for the trial functions \( u \) (i.e., for the solution).

3. Use the Neumann boundary condition \( (\alpha' u' n_x = \hat{q} \text{ on } \Gamma_q) \) and the property of test function \( (\delta u = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_u) \) for the boundary term. In this way, the weak (variational) form is obtained.

**Weak form**

\[
\left[ -\hat{q} \delta u \right]_{x=a} + \int_{a}^{b} \left[ \alpha' u' \delta u' + \gamma u \delta u - f \delta u \right] \, dx = 0.
\]

The weak form is **mathematically equivalent** to the strong one: if \( u \) is a solution to the strong (local, differential) formulation of a BVP, it also satisfies the corresponding weak (global, integral) formulation for any \( \delta u \) (admissible, i.e., sufficiently smooth and \( \delta u = 0 \) on \( \Gamma_u \)).
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- The essential boundary conditions must be explicitly satisfied by the trial functions: $u = \hat{u}$ on $\Gamma_u$. (In case of displacement formulations of many mechanical and structural engineering problems this is called **kinematic admissibility requirement**.)
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- The essential boundary conditions must be explicitly satisfied by the trial functions: $u = \hat{u}$ on $\Gamma_u$. (In case of displacement formulations of many mechanical and structural engineering problems this is called **kinematic admissibility requirement**.)

- Consequently, the test functions must satisfy the adequate *homogeneous* essential boundary conditions: $\delta u = 0$ on $\Gamma_u$.

- The trial functions $u$ (and test functions, $\delta u$) need only to be continuous. (Remember that in the case of strong form the continuity of the first derivative of solution $u$ was required.)
The weak form

Additional requirements and remarks

- The essential boundary conditions must be explicitly satisfied by the trial functions: \( u = \hat{u} \) on \( \Gamma_u \). (In case of displacement formulations of many mechanical and structural engineering problems this is called \textbf{kinematic admissibility requirement}.)

- Consequently, the test functions must satisfy the adequate \textit{homogeneous} essential boundary conditions: \( \delta u = 0 \) on \( \Gamma_u \).

- The trial functions \( u \) (and test functions, \( \delta u \)) need only to be continuous. (Remember that in the case of strong form the continuity of the first derivative of solution \( u \) was required.)

Remarks:

- The strong form can be derived from the corresponding weak formulation if more demanding assumptions are taken for the smoothness of trial functions (i.e., one-order higher differentiability).

- In variational methods, any test function is a variation defined as the difference between any two trial functions. Since any trial function satisfy the essential boundary conditions, the requirement that \( \delta u = 0 \) on \( \Gamma_u \) follows immediately.
The weak form

Test and trial functions

\[ u(x), \delta u(x) \]

solution and trial functions, \( u \)

test functions, \( \delta u \)

Dirichlet b.c.
\[ u = \hat{u}, \delta u = 0 \]

Neumann b.c.

\( u_1, u_2 \) – arbitrary trial functions

\[ \delta u = u_1 - u_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} u_1 = \hat{u} \quad \text{on } \Gamma_u \\ u_2 = \hat{u} \quad \text{on } \Gamma_u \end{cases} \to \delta u = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_u \]
Associated variational problem

- $\mathcal{U}$, $\mathcal{W}$ are functional spaces. The first one is called the **space of solution** (or trial functions), the other one is the **space of test functions** (or weighting functions),
- $\mathcal{A}$ is a **bilinear form** defined on $\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{W}$,
- $\mathcal{F}$ is a **linear form** defined on $\mathcal{W}$,
- $\mathcal{P}$ is a certain **functional** defined on $\mathcal{U}$. 

The weak form (or the variational problem) is the statement of the principle of the minimum total potential energy:

$$
\delta P(u) = 0, \quad \delta P(u) = \mathcal{A}(u, \delta u) - \mathcal{F}(\delta u),
$$

$\delta$ is now the **variational symbol**, $P(u)$ is the **potential energy** defined by the following quadratic **functional** $P(u) = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A}(u, u) - \mathcal{F}(u)$.

**Example (for the model problem)**

$$
P(u) = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A}(u, u) - \mathcal{F}(u) = b \int_{a}^{x} \left[ \alpha (u')^2 + \gamma u^2 - f u \right] \, dx - \left[ \hat{q} u \right]_{x=a}.
$$

$$
\delta P(u) = \mathcal{A}(u, \delta u) - \mathcal{F}(\delta u) = b \int_{a}^{x} \left[ \alpha u' \delta u' + \gamma u \delta u - f \delta u \right] \, dx - \left[ \hat{q} \delta u \right]_{x=a}.
$$
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- \( \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W} \) are functional spaces. The first one is called the **space of solution** (or trial functions), the other one is the **space of test functions** (or weighting functions),
- \( \mathcal{A} \) is a **bilinear form** defined on \( \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{W} \),
- \( \mathcal{F} \) is a **linear form** defined on \( \mathcal{W} \),
- \( \mathcal{P} \) is a certain **functional** defined on \( \mathcal{U} \).

The weak form is equivalent to a variational problem!

**Weak form vs. variational problem**

- **Weak formulation**: Find \( u \in \mathcal{U} \) so that \( \mathcal{A}(u, \delta u) = \mathcal{F}(\delta u) \) \( \forall \delta u \in \mathcal{W} \).
- **Variational problem**: Find \( u \in \mathcal{U} \) which minimizes \( \mathcal{P}(u) \).
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- $\mathcal{U}$, $\mathcal{W}$ are functional spaces. The first one is called the **space of solution** (or trial functions), the other one is the **space of test functions** (or weighting functions),
- $A$ is a **bilinear form** defined on $\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{W}$,
- $F$ is a **linear form** defined on $\mathcal{W}$,
- $P$ is a certain **functional** defined on $\mathcal{U}$.

The weak form is equivalent to a variational problem!

**Weak form vs. variational problem**

Weak formulation: Find $u \in \mathcal{U}$ so that $A(u, \delta u) = F(\delta u) \ \forall \delta u \in \mathcal{W}$.

Variational problem: Find $u \in \mathcal{U}$ which minimizes $P(u)$.

**Example (for the model problem)**

$$A(u, \delta u) = \int_{a}^{b} \left[ \alpha u' \delta u' + \gamma u \delta u \right] \, dx,$$  
$$F(\delta u) = \int_{a}^{b} f \delta u \, dx + \left[ \hat{q} \delta u \right]_{x=a}.$$
Associated variational problem
and the principle of the minimum total potential energy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weak form vs. variational problem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weak formulation: Find $u \in \mathcal{U}$ so that $A(u, \delta u) = F(\delta u) \ \forall \ \delta u \in \mathcal{W}$.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variational problem: Find $u \in \mathcal{U}$ which minimizes $\mathcal{P}(u)$.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The weak form (or the variational problem) is the statement of the 
principle of the minimum total potential energy:

\[
\delta \mathcal{P}(u) = 0, \quad \delta \mathcal{P}(u) = A(u, \delta u) - F(\delta u)
\]

- $\delta$ is now the **variational symbol**,
- $\mathcal{P}(u)$ is the **potential energy**
Associated variational problem
and the principle of the minimum total potential energy

Weak form vs. variational problem

Weak formulation: Find \( u \in U \) so that \( A(u, \delta u) = F(\delta u) \ \forall \ \delta u \in W \).

Variational problem: Find \( u \in U \) which minimizes \( P(u) \).

The weak form (or the variational problem) is the statement of the principle of the minimum total potential energy:

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta P(u) &= 0, \\
\delta P(u) &= A(u, \delta u) - F(\delta u)
\end{align*}
\]

- \( \delta \) is now the \textbf{variational symbol},
- \( P(u) \) is the \textbf{potential energy} defined by the following \textbf{quadratic functional}

\[
P(u) = \frac{1}{2} A(u, u) - F(u).
\]

This definition holds only when the \textbf{bilinear form is symmetric} since:

\[
\frac{1}{2} \delta A(u, u) = \frac{1}{2} \left( A(\delta u, u) + A(u, \delta u) \right) = A(u, \delta u), \quad \delta F(u) = F(\delta u).
\]
Associated variational problem
and the principle of the minimum total potential energy

The weak form (or the variational problem) is the statement of the principle of the minimum total potential energy:

\[
\delta P(u) = 0, \quad \delta P(u) = A(u, \delta u) - F(\delta u)
\]

- \(\delta\) is now the variational symbol,
- \(P(u)\) is the potential energy defined by the following quadratic functional

\[
P(u) = \frac{1}{2} A(u, u) - F(u).
\]

Example (for the model problem)

\[
P(u) = \frac{1}{2} A(u, u) - F(u) = \int_{a}^{b} \left[ \frac{\alpha}{2} (u')^2 + \frac{\gamma}{2} u^2 - f u \right] \, dx - \left[ \hat{q} u \right]_{x=a},
\]

\[
\delta P(u) = A(u, \delta u) - F(\delta u) = \int_{a}^{b} \left[ \alpha u' \delta u' + \gamma u \delta u - f \delta u \right] \, dx - \left[ \hat{q} \delta u \right]_{x=a}.
\]
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Galerkin method

Discrete (approximated) problem

If the problem is \textit{well-posed} one can try to find an \textit{approximated solution} \( u_h \) by solving the so-called \textit{discrete problem} which is an approximation of the corresponding variational problem.

\begin{center}
\textbf{Discrete (approximated) problem}
\end{center}

\[
\text{Find } u_h \in \mathcal{U}_h \text{ so that } \quad A_h(u_h, \delta u_h) = F_h(\delta u_h) \quad \forall \delta u_h \in \mathcal{W}_h.
\]

Here:

- \( \mathcal{U}_h \) is a finite-dimension space of functions called \textit{approximation space} whereas \( u_h \) is the \textit{approximate solution} (i.e., \textit{approximate} to the \textit{original} problem).

- \( \delta u_h \) are \textit{discrete test functions} from the \textit{discrete test space} \( \mathcal{W}_h \). In the Galerkin method \( \mathcal{W}_h = \mathcal{U}_h \). (In general, \( \mathcal{W}_h \neq \mathcal{U}_h \).)

- \( A_h \) is an approximation of the bilinear form \( A \).

- \( F_h \) is an approximation of the linear form \( F \).
In the Galerkin method \((\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{U})\) the same shape functions, \(\phi_i(x)\), are used to **interpolate** the approximate solution as well as the (discrete) test functions:

\[
u_h(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \theta_j \phi_j(x), \quad \delta u_h(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta \theta_i \phi_i(x).
\]

Here, \(\theta_i\) are called the degrees of freedom.
Galerkin method

The interpolation and system of algebraic equations

\[ u_h(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \theta_j \phi_j(x) , \quad \delta u_h(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta \theta_i \phi_i(x) . \]

Using this **interpolation for the approximated problem** leads to a system of algebraic equations (as described below).

- The left-hand and right-hand sides of the problem equation yield:

\[
A_h(u_h, \delta u_h) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} A_h(\phi_j, \phi_i) \theta_j \delta \theta_i = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} A_{ij} \theta_j \delta \theta_i ,
\]

\[
F_h(\delta u_h) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} F_h(\phi_i) \delta \theta_i = \sum_{i=1}^{N} F_i \delta \theta_i ,
\]

where the (bi)linearity property is used, and the **coefficient matrix** ("stiffness" matrix) and **right-hand-side vector** are defined as follows:

\[ A_{ij} = A_h(\phi_j, \phi_i) , \quad F_i = F_h(\phi_i) . \]
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- The **coefficient matrix** ("stiffness" matrix) and **right-hand-side vector** are defined as follows:
  \[ A_{ij} = A_h(\phi_j, \phi_i), \quad F_i = F_h(\phi_i). \]

- Now, the approximated problem may be written as:
  \[ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left[ A_{ij} \theta_j - F_i \right] \delta \theta_i = 0 \quad \forall \delta \theta_i. \]
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The interpolation and system of algebraic equations

\[ u_h(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \theta_j \phi_j(x) , \quad \delta u_h(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta \theta_i \phi_i(x) . \]

Using this interpolation for the approximated problem leads to a system of algebraic equations (as described below).

- The coefficient matrix (“stiffness” matrix) and right-hand-side vector are defined as follows:
  \[ A_{ij} = A_h(\phi_j, \phi_i) , \quad F_i = F_h(\phi_i) . \]

- Now, the approximated problem may be written as:
  \[ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left[ A_{ij} \theta_j - F_i \right] \delta \theta_i = 0 \quad \forall \delta \theta_i . \]

- It is (always) true if the expression in brackets equals zero which gives the system of algebraic equations (for \( \theta_j = ? \)):
  \[ \sum_{i=1}^{N} A_{ij} \theta_j = F_i . \]
Galerkin method

The interpolation and system of algebraic equations

\[ u_h(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \theta_j \phi_j(x), \quad \delta u_h(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta \theta_i \phi_i(x). \]

Using this interpolation for the approximated problem leads to the following system of algebraic equations (for \( \theta_j = ? \)):

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{N} A_{ij} \theta_j = F_i, \quad \text{where} \quad A_{ij} = A_h(\phi_j, \phi_i), \quad F_i = F_h(\phi_i). \]

Example (for the model problem)

\[ A_{ij} = A_h(\phi_j, \phi_i) = \int_{a}^{b} \left[ \alpha \phi'_j \phi'_i + \gamma \phi_j \phi_i \right] \, dx, \]

\[ F_i = F_h(\phi_i) = \int_{a}^{b} f \phi_i \, dx + \left[ \hat{q} \phi_i \right]_{x=a}. \]
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Discretization and (linear) shape functions

\[ \phi_i(x) \]
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There are \(N\) nodes, each with only 1 degree of freedom (DOF).

Local (or element) shape function is (most often) defined on an element in this way that it is equal to 1 in a particular DOF and 0 in all the others.

Global shape function \(\phi_i\) is defined on the whole domain as:

- local shape functions on (neighbouring) elements sharing DOF \(i\),
- identically equal zero on all other elements.
Discretization and (linear) shape functions

\[ \phi_i(x) \]

Shape functions for internal nodes \((i = 2, \ldots, (N - 1))\) are:

\[
\phi_i = \begin{cases} 
  \frac{x - x_{i-1}}{h_{i-1}} & \text{for } x \in \Omega_{i-1}, \\
  \frac{x_{i+1} - x}{h_i} & \text{for } x \in \Omega_i, \\
  0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]
**Discretization and (linear) shape functions**

Shape functions for boundary nodes \((i = 1 \text{ or } N)\) are:

\[
\begin{align*}
\phi_1 &= \begin{cases} 
\frac{x_2 - x}{h_1} & \text{for } x \in \Omega_1, \\
0 & \text{otherwise,}
\end{cases} \\
\phi_N &= \begin{cases} 
\frac{x - x_{N-1}}{h_{N-1}} & \text{for } x \in \Omega_{N-1}, \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]
First derivatives of shape functions are discontinuous at interfaces (points) between elements (in the case of linear interpolation they are element-wise constant):

\[
\phi_i' = \begin{cases} 
-\frac{1}{h_i} & \text{for } x \in \Omega_i, \\
0 & \text{otherwise},
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\phi_N' = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{h_{N-1}} & \text{for } x \in \Omega_{N-1}, \\
0 & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]
Lagrange interpolation functions

\[ L_0^1(\xi) = 1 - \xi, \]
\[ L_1^1(\xi) = \xi, \]

1st order (linear)

\[ L_0^2(\xi) = (2\xi - 1)(\xi - 1), \]
\[ L_1^2(\xi) = 4\xi(1 - \xi), \]
\[ L_2^2(\xi) = \xi(2\xi - 1). \]

2nd order (quadratic)
The symmetry of the bilinear form $\mathcal{A}$ involves the symmetry of the matrix of the FE system of algebraic equations, i.e., $A_{ij} = A_{ji}$.
Finite element system of algebraic equations

Matrix of the system

- The symmetry of the bilinear form $A$ involves the symmetry of the matrix of the FE system of algebraic equations, i.e., $A_{ij} = A_{ji}$.

- A component $A_{ij}$ is defined as an integral (over the problem domain) of a sum of a product of shape functions, $\phi_i$ and $\phi_j$, and a product of their derivatives, $\phi'_i$ and $\phi'_j$. 

  \[ A_{ij} = \sum_{e \in E} A_{ij}(e) = \sum_{e \in E(i,j)} A_{ij}(e) \]

  Here:

  - $E$ is the set of all finite elements,
  - $E(i,j)$ is the set of finite elements that contain the (both) degrees of freedom $i$ and $j$. 
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- A component $A_{ij}$ is defined as an integral (over the problem domain) of a sum of a product of shape functions, $\phi_i$ and $\phi_j$, and a product of their derivatives, $\phi'_i$ and $\phi'_j$.

- The product of two shape functions (or their derivatives) is nonzero only on the elements that contain the both corresponding degrees of freedom (since a shape function corresponding to a particular degree of freedom is nonzero only on the elements sharing it).
Finite element system of algebraic equations

Matrix of the system

- The symmetry of the bilinear form $A$ involves the symmetry of the matrix of the FE system of algebraic equations, i.e., $A_{ij} = A_{ji}$.

- A component $A_{ij}$ is defined as an integral (over the problem domain) of a sum of a product of shape functions, $\phi_i$ and $\phi_j$, and a product of their derivatives, $\phi'_i$ and $\phi'_j$.

- The product of two shape functions (or their derivatives) is nonzero only on the elements that contain the both corresponding degrees of freedom (since a shape function corresponding to a particular degree of freedom is nonzero only on the elements sharing it).

- Therefore, the integral can be computed as a sum of the integrals defined only over these finite elements that share the both degrees of freedom (since the contribution from all other elements is null):

$$A_{ij} = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}} A_{ij}^{(e)} = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}(i,j)} A_{ij}^{(e)}.$$

Here: $\mathcal{E}$ is the set of all finite elements, $\mathcal{E}(i,j)$ is the set of finite elements that contain the (both) degrees of freedom $i$ and $j$. 
Finite element system of algebraic equations

Matrix of the system

\[
A_{ij} = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}} A^{(e)}_{ij} = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}(i,j)} A^{(e)}_{ij}.
\]

Here: \( \mathcal{E} \) is the set of all finite elements, \( \mathcal{E}(i,j) \) is the set of finite elements that contain the (both) degrees of freedom \( i \) and \( j \).

For a 1D problem approximated by finite elements with linear shape functions the matrix of the system will be \textit{tridiagonal}:

\[
A_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
A^{(1)}_{11} & \text{for } i = j = 1, \\
A^{(i-1)}_{ii} + A^{(i)}_{ii} & \text{for } i = j = 2, \ldots, (N - 1), \\
A^{(N-1)}_{NN} & \text{for } i = j = N, \\
A^{(i)}_{i,i+1} & \text{for } |i - j| = 1, \\
0 & \text{for } |i - j| > 1.
\end{cases}
\]
Finite element system of algebraic equations

Matrix of the system

For the model problem the nonzero elements of the matrix are:

\[
A_{11} = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \left[ \alpha (\phi'_1)^2 + \gamma \phi_1^2 \right] \, dx = \int_{x_1}^{x_1+\Delta x_1} \frac{\alpha + \gamma (x + \Delta x_1 - x)^2}{\Delta x_1^2} \, dx,
\]

\[
A_{ii} = \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i+1}} \left[ \alpha (\phi'_i)^2 + \gamma \phi_i^2 \right] \, dx = \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} \frac{\alpha + \gamma (x - x_i + \Delta x_i - x)^2}{\Delta x_i^2} \, dx
+ \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} \frac{\alpha + \gamma (x + \Delta x_i - x)^2}{\Delta x_i^2} \, dx, \quad i = 2, \ldots, (N - 1),
\]

\[
A_{NN} = \int_{x_{N-1}}^{x_N} \left[ \alpha (\phi'_N)^2 + \gamma \phi_N^2 \right] \, dx = \int_{x_{N-1}}^{x_N} \frac{\alpha + \gamma (x - x_N + \Delta x_{N-1} - x)^2}{\Delta x_{N-1}^2} \, dx,
\]

\[
A_{i,(i+1)} = \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} \left[ \alpha \phi_i \phi'_{i+1} + \gamma \phi_i \phi_{i+1} \right] \, dx = \int_{x_i}^{x_i+\Delta x_i} \frac{-\alpha + \gamma (x + \Delta x_i - x)(x - x_i)}{\Delta x_i^2} \, dx,
\]

\[i = 1, \ldots, (N - 1).\]
Finite element system of algebraic equations

Matrix of the system

For a homogeneous material, when $\alpha(x) = \text{const} = \alpha$ and $\gamma(x) = \text{const} = \gamma$, the integrals in the formulas for non-zero elements of tridiagonal matrix can be analytically integrated and the these non-zero elements are computed as follows:

$$
A_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{\alpha}{h_1} + \frac{\gamma h_1}{3} & \text{for } i = j = 1, \\
\frac{\alpha}{h_{i-1}} + \frac{\gamma h_{i-1}}{3} + \frac{\alpha}{h_i} + \frac{\gamma h_i}{3} & \text{for } i = j = 2, \ldots, (N - 1), \\
\frac{\alpha}{h_{N-1}} + \frac{\gamma h_{N-1}}{3} & \text{for } i = j = N, \\
-\frac{\alpha}{h_i} + \frac{\gamma h_i}{6} & \text{for } |i - j| = 1, \\
0 & \text{for } |i - j| > 1.
\end{cases}
$$
The element \( i \) of the right-hand-side vector is computed as:

\[
F_i = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}} F_i^{(e)} = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}(i)} F_i^{(e)}.
\]

\( \mathcal{E} \) is the set of all finite elements, \( \mathcal{E}(i) \) is the set of finite elements that contain the degree of freedom \( i \).
The element $i$ of the right-hand-side vector is computed as:

$$F_i = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}} F_i^{(e)} = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}(i)} F_i^{(e)}.$$

$\mathcal{E}$ is the set of all finite elements, $\mathcal{E}(i)$ is the set of finite elements that contain the degree of freedom $i$.

For the considered model problem the r.h.s. vector is computed as follows:

$$F_1 = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} f \phi_1 \, dx + \left[ \hat{q} \phi_1 \right]_{x=x_1} = \int_{x_1}^{x_1+h_1} \frac{f(x_1 + h_1 - x)}{h_1} \, dx + \hat{q},$$

$$F_i = \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i+1}} f \phi_i \, dx = \int_{x_{i-h_{i-1}}}^{x_i} \frac{f(x - x_i + h_{i-1})}{h_{i-1}} \, dx + \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+h_i}} \frac{f(x_i + h_i - x)}{h_i} \, dx, \quad i = 2, \ldots, (N - 1),$$

$$F_N = \text{(to be computed as a reaction to the essential b.c. imposed at this node)}.$$
Finite element system of algebraic equations

Right-hand-side vector

The element $i$ of the right-hand-side vector is computed as:

$$F_i = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}} F_i^{(e)} = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}(i)} F_i^{(e)}.$$

$\mathcal{E}$ is the set of all finite elements, $\mathcal{E}(i)$ is the set of finite elements that contain the degree of freedom $i$.

Finally, for the model problem with a uniform source (load), i.e., when $f(x) = \text{const} = f$, the elements of r.h.s. vector are:

$$F_i = \begin{cases} \frac{f h_1}{2} + \hat{q} & \text{for } i = 1, \\ f \left( \frac{h_{i-1} + h_i}{2} \right) & \text{for } i = 2, \ldots, (N - 1), \\ F_N = ? & \text{for } i = N \text{ (a reaction to the essential b.c.)}. \end{cases}$$
Imposition of the essential boundary conditions

In general, the assembled matrix \([A_{ij}]\) is *singular* and the system of algebraic equations is undetermined. To make it solvable the **essential boundary conditions must be imposed**.
**Imposition of the essential boundary conditions**

In general, the assembled matrix \([A_{ij}]\) is *singular* and the system of algebraic equations is undetermined. To make it solvable the **essential boundary conditions must be imposed**.

Let \(\mathcal{B}\) be the set of all degrees of freedom, where the essential boundary conditions are applied, that is, for \(n \in \mathcal{B}\): \(\theta_n = \hat{\theta}_n\), where \(\hat{\theta}_n\) is a known value. In practice, the essential BCs are imposed as described below.

- Compute a new r.h.s. vector

\[
\tilde{F}_i = F_i - \sum_{n \in \mathcal{B}} A_{in} \hat{\theta}_n \quad \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, N.
\]

- Set \(\tilde{F}_n = \hat{\theta}_n\).

- Set \(\tilde{A}_{nn} = 1\) and all other components in the \(n\)-th row and \(n\)-th column to zero, i.e., \(\tilde{A}_{ni} = \tilde{A}_{in} = \delta_{in}\) for \(i = 1, \ldots, N\).

- Now, the new (sightly modified) system of equations \(\tilde{A}_{ij} \theta_i = \tilde{F}_j\) is solved for \(\theta_i\).

- Finally, reactions (loads, forces) at “Dirichlet nodes” can be computed as

\[
F_n = \sum_{i=1}^{N} A_{ni} \theta_i.
\]
Imposition of the essential boundary conditions

For the model problem the essential b.c. are imposed only in the last node (i.e., the $N$-th DOF), where a known value $\hat{\theta}_N$ is given, so the modified matrix and r.h.s. vector can be formally written as follows:

$$
\tilde{A}_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
A_{ij} & \text{for } i, j = 1, \ldots, (N - 1), \\
\delta_{Nj} & \text{for } i = N, j = 1, \ldots, N, \\
\delta_{iN} & \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, N, j = N,
\end{cases}
$$

$$
\tilde{F}_i = \begin{cases} 
F_i - A_{iN} \hat{\theta}_N & \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, (N - 1), \\
\hat{\theta}_N & \text{for } i = N.
\end{cases}
$$

After the solution of the modified system, the reaction may be computed:

$$
F_N = \sum_{i=1}^{N} A_{Ni} \theta_i = A_{N,(N-1)} \theta_{N-1} + A_{NN} \hat{\theta}_N.
$$
Results: analytical and FE solutions

\( \alpha(x) = 1, \quad \gamma = 3, \quad f(x) = 1, \)

\( a = 0, \quad q(0) = \hat{q} = 1, \quad b = 2, \quad u(2) = \hat{u} = 0. \)
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