Stabilisation of LC ladder network
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Abstract. In this paper stabilisation problem of LC ladder network is established. We studied the following cases: stabilisation by inner resistance, by velocity feedback and stabilisation by dynamic linear feedback, in particularly stabilisation by first range dynamic feedback. The global asymptotic stability of the respectively system is proved by LaSalle's theorem. In the proof the observability of the dynamic system plays an essential role. Numerical calculations were made using the Matlab/Simulink program.
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1. Introduction

We consider an electric ladder network of the L and GC-type shown in Fig. 1. The parameters of the network $L>0, G_i>0$ and $C>0$ are known, where $i=1,2$.

The system shown in Fig. 1 is described by following equations:

\[ \ddot{x}(t) + D\dot{x}(t) + Ax(t) = Bu(t), \]
\[ x(t) = [x_1(t) \ x_2(t) \ \ldots \ \ x_n(t)]^T \]  (1)

where $A$ is tridiagonal matrix, $D$ is diagonal matrix,

\[
A = \omega^2 \begin{bmatrix}
2 & -1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 2 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \cdots & \ddots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & -1 & 2 \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 2 \\
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
D = \begin{bmatrix}
g_1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & g_2 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & g_2 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \cdots & \ddots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & g_2 \\
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
B = \omega^2 \begin{bmatrix}
1 \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0 \\
\end{bmatrix}, \quad \omega^2 = \frac{1}{LC},
\]

\[
g_1 = \frac{G_1}{C}, \quad g_2 = \frac{G_2}{C}. \]  (2)

From (1) we have

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ \dot{x}(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ -A & -D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ \dot{x}(t) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ B \end{bmatrix} u(t). \]  (3)

Remark 1. The eigenvalues of matrix $A$ given in (2) are (see for example [1]) given by the following equation:

\[
\lambda_i(A) = 2\omega^2(1 - \cos \varphi_i) = 4\omega^2 \sin^2 \frac{\varphi_i}{2},
\]

\[
\varphi_i = \frac{i\pi}{n+1}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \]  (4)

From (4) we have $\lambda_i(A) > 0$. Thus $\det A \neq 0$ and $A = A^T$ is positive definite matrix.

Let

\[
P = \sqrt{\frac{2}{n+1}} \begin{bmatrix}
\sin \varphi_1 & \sin 2\varphi_1 & \ldots & \sin n\varphi_1 \\
\sin \varphi_2 & \sin 2\varphi_2 & \ldots & \sin n\varphi_2 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\sin \varphi_n & \sin 2\varphi_n & \ldots & \sin n\varphi_n \\
\end{bmatrix}, \]  (5)

where $\varphi_i$ is given in (4). From (5) we obtain $P^2 = I$.

Thus $P^{-1} = P$ and $PAP = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n)$, where $\lambda_i$ is given in (4).

2. LC electric ladder network

If $G_1 = G_2 = 0$ [1,2], then we have ladder network of LC type (see Fig. 1). In this case our network is undamped second order system described by (1) or (3) with $D = 0$.

The system (1) with $D = 0$ is diagonalizable [1]:

\[
\ddot{z}_i(t) + \omega_i^2 z_i(t) = f_i(t), \quad f_i(t) = \omega^2 \sqrt{\frac{2}{n+1}} u(t) \sin \varphi_i, \]  (6)

Fig. 1. Electric ladder network of the L and GC-type
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where $\omega_i = \sqrt{\lambda_i(A)} = 2\omega \sin \frac{\omega z}{2}$, $i = 1, 2, ..., n$, $\varphi_i$ is given in (4). Solution of the equation (6) with $D = 0$ is given by (see for example [3,4]) following formula:

$$z_i(t) = \cos(\omega_i t) z_i(0) + \omega_i^{-1} \int_0^t \sin(\omega_i(t-\tau)) f_i(\tau) d\tau.$$  (7)

Using (7) the solution of the equation (1) with $D = 0$ can be represented in the following form:

$$x(t) = P z(t), \quad z(t) = [z_1(t) \ldots z_n(t)]^T,$$  (8)

where $P$ is given in (5).

**Remark 2.** The system (1) with $D = 0$ is controllable (see for example [5]) if and only if the pair $(A; B)$ is controllable. The matrix $A$ given in (2) is diagonalizable (see Remark 1). Thus the pair $(P^{-1} A P; P^{-1} B)$ is controllable and consequently the pair $(A; B)$ is controllable.

**Remark 3.** Let $Q$ be a real matrix $m \times n$. It is obvious, that the pair $(Q; A)$ is observable if and only if the range $Z = n, Z = [Q^T A^T Q^T \ldots (A^T)^{n-1} Q^T]$. Similarly it is obvious, that the pair $(Q; A)$ is observable if and only if the range $M(s) = n$ for any complex number $s$, where

$$M(s) = \left[ sI - A \right].$$  (9)

Consequently the pair $(Q; A)$ is observable if and only if the equation $M(s) \nu = 0$ has no nonzero solution $\nu$ for any complex number $s$ (criterion of Hautus 1969, see for example [1]).

**Remark 4.** Let $y(t) \in R^m$ be the output of the system (1) with $D = 0$. Let $y(t) = Q x(t)$ or $y(t) = Q \dot{x}(t)$. Let

$$M_1 = \begin{bmatrix} Q & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Q & 0 \\ QA & 0 & QA \\ 0 & QA & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & QA^{n-1} & \end{bmatrix}, \quad M_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & Q \\ QA & 0 \\ 0 & QA^{2} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & QA^n \\ QA & 0 \\ \end{bmatrix},$$

$$M_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & Q \\ 0 & 0 \\ QA & 0 \\ 0 & QA^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ QA^n & 0 \\ \end{bmatrix}, \quad M_4 = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & I \\ \end{bmatrix}$$  (10)

is observable if and only if rang $M_1 = 2n$. Similarly, the pair $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & [Q;] \\ 0 & [-A;] \end{bmatrix}$ is observable if and only if rang $M_2 = 2n$. Let $(Q; A)$ be observable. Thus from Remark 3 rang $Z = n, Z = [Q^T A^T Q^T \ldots (A^T)^{n-1} Q^T]$ and consequently rang $M_1 = 2n$. Next we are going to show, that rang $M_2 = 2n$. From (10) $M_2 = M_1 M_4$, where det $M_4 \neq 0$, because det $A \neq 0$ (see Remark 1). Thus rang $M_2 = rang M_1$. But rang $M_2 = 2n$, because rang $Z = n$. Thus if $(Q; A)$ is observable, we obtain rang $M_2 = 2n$. Consequently, if $(Q; A)$ is observable, then the system $\ddot{x} + Ax = 0, y = Qx$ is observable and the system $\ddot{x} + Ax = 0, y = Q \dot{x}$ is also observable. \hfill \Box

**Remark 5.** The eigenvalues of the state matrix of the system (3) with $D = 0$ are given by following formulas: $\pm j\omega_i, j^2 = -1, \omega_i = \sqrt{\lambda_i(A)}, i = 1, 2, ..., n$. For $n = 2$ and $LC = 1$ we have $\omega_1 = 1$ and $\omega_2 = \sqrt{3}$. For $n = 5$ and $LC = 1$ we have $\omega_1 = \sqrt{2 - \sqrt{3}}, \omega_2 = 1, \omega_3 = \sqrt{2}, \omega_4 = \sqrt{3}, \omega_5 = \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{3}}$. Thus in the system (1) with $D = 0$ could appear almost periodic oscillations. \hfill \Box

The system (1) with $D = 0$ is stable (see (6)), but not asymptotically stable. Is evident, that the system (1) with $D = 0$ and with static feedback $u(t) = -Ky(t)$ is not asymptotically stable. Thus our question is: how to stabilise the system (1) with $D = 0$?

### 3. Stabilisation by inner resistance

Now we prove, that the system (1) with $D = 0$ can be stabilised by inner resistance (conductance $G > 0$). We consider two cases: $G_1 = G_2 = G > 0$ and $G_1 = G, G_2 = 0$ (see Fig. 1).

**Case 1.** Let $G_1 = G_2 = G > 0$. Using the transformation (8) from (1) we obtain

$$\ddot{z}_i(t) + g \dot{z}_i(t) + \lambda_i z_i(t) = b_i u(t),$$

$$b_i = \omega^2 \sqrt{\frac{2}{n+1}} \sin \varphi_i, g = G/C,$$  (11)

where $\lambda_i$ and $\varphi_i$ are given by (4) and $\omega^2 = 1/(LC)$. Consequently from (11) we have (see also (3))

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} z_i(t) \\ \dot{z}_i(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\lambda_i & -g \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z_i(t) \\ \dot{z}_i(t) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ b_i \end{bmatrix} u(t).$$  (12)

**Remark 6.** We notice that $\lambda_i > 0$ and $g > 0$. Thus system (11) is asymptotically stable and consequently system (1) with $G_1 = G_2 = G > 0$ is asymptotically stable. \hfill \Box

**Remark 7.** Let $\Delta = g^2 - 4\lambda \neq 0, s_1 = (-g + \sqrt{\Delta}) / 2, s_2 = (-g - \sqrt{\Delta}) / 2$. Let (see (12) without index “$i$”)

$$Z = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\lambda & -g \end{bmatrix}, \quad e^{zt} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{z_1 t} & e^{z_2 t} \\ e^{z_1 t} & e^{z_2 t} \end{bmatrix}.$$  (13)
Using simple calculations we obtain
\[ \begin{align*}
\epsilon_{11}(t) &= \frac{1}{2}[(e^{s_1 t} + e^{s_2 t})\sqrt{\Delta} - (e^{s_1 t} - e^{s_2 t})g] / \sqrt{\Delta} \\
\epsilon_{12}(t) &= (e^{s_1 t} - e^{s_2 t}) / \sqrt{\Delta} \\
\epsilon_{21}(t) &= \lambda(e^{s_1 t} - e^{s_2 t}) / \sqrt{\Delta} \\
\epsilon_{22}(t) &= \frac{1}{2}[(e^{s_1 t} + e^{s_2 t})\sqrt{\Delta} + (e^{s_1 t} - e^{s_2 t})g] / \sqrt{\Delta}.
\end{align*} \] (14)

If \( \Delta = g^2 - 4A = 0 \), thus \( g = 2\sqrt{\lambda} \) and \( s_1 = s_2 = -\frac{g}{2} = -\sqrt{\lambda} \). In this case
\[ \begin{align*}
\epsilon_{11}(t) &= (1 + t\sqrt{\lambda})e^{-t\sqrt{\lambda}} \\
\epsilon_{12}(t) &= te^{-t\sqrt{\lambda}} \\
\epsilon_{21}(t) &= -t\lambda e^{-t\sqrt{\lambda}} \\
\epsilon_{22}(t) &= (1 - t\sqrt{\lambda})e^{-t\sqrt{\lambda}}.
\end{align*} \] (15)

The solution of the equation (1) with \( D \neq 0 \) can be obtained from (8).

Case 2. Now we consider second case. Let \( G_1 = G, G_2 = 0 \) (see Fig. 1). From (2) we obtain \( D = B^T g/\omega^4 \), where \( g = G/C \) and \( \omega^2 = 1/(LC) \). The diagonal matrix \( D \) is positive semi-definite. The global asymptotic stability of the system (3) with \( G_1 = G, G_2 = 0 \) is proved by LaSalle’s theorem [6]. Let us the Liapunov function (similarly to [6])

\[ V(x(t), \dot{x}(t)) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ \dot{x}(t) \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ \dot{x}(t) \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} x(t)^T A x(t) + \frac{1}{2} \dot{x}(t)^T \dot{x}(t). \] (16)

We can notice that \( V(x, \dot{x}) > 0 \) and \( V(x, \dot{x}) \to \infty \) if \( [x^T \dot{x}^T] \to \infty \). From (16) and (1) with \( u = 0 \) we have

\[ \frac{d}{dt} V(x(t), \dot{x}(t)) = \dot{V}(x(t), \dot{x}(t)) = -\dot{x}(t)^T D \dot{x}(t). \] (17)

By LaSalle’s theorem [6] the solutions of (3) with \( u = 0 \) asymptotically tend to the maximal invariant subset \( S \) of \( E \), where

\[ E = \{ (x, \dot{x}) : \dot{V} = 0 \}. \] (18)

If \( E \) contains only the maximal invariant subset \( S = \{0\} \), then \( x(t) \to 0 \) and \( \dot{x}(t) \to 0 \) if \( t \to \infty \). Now we prove that the maximal invariant subset \( S = \{0\} \). In the proof the observability of the system (3) with proper output \( y(t) \) will play an essential role (see [7]).

The diagonal matrix \( D = B^T g/\omega^4 \) is positive semi-definite and consequently \( \dot{V} \leq 0 \). From \( \dot{V} = 0 \) we have (see (17) and (18)) \( B^T \dot{x}(t) = 0 \), because \( D = B^T g/\omega^4 \). We consider following system:

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ \dot{x}(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ -A & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ \dot{x}(t) \end{bmatrix}, \quad y(t) = B^T \dot{x}(t). \] (19)

The pair \( (B^T P; P^{-1} \Lambda P) \) is observable (see (2) and (4), (5)). Thus the pair \( (B^T; A) \) is observable and system (19) is observable (see Remark 4). The system (19) is observable if and only if (see Remark 3) for any complex \( s \) the following implication holds:

\[ \begin{bmatrix} sI & -I \\ A & sI \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} sv_1 - v_2 = 0 \\ At_1 + sv_2 = 0 \end{cases}. \] (20)

Now we consider system (3) with output \( y(t) = B^T \dot{x}(t) \) and \( u(t) = 0 \), i.e. the following system:

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ \dot{x}(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ -A & -D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ \dot{x}(t) \end{bmatrix}, \quad y(t) = B^T \dot{x}(t). \] (21)

The system (21) is observable if and only if (see Remark 3) for any complex \( s \) the following implication holds:

\[ \begin{bmatrix} sI & -I \\ A & sI + D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} sv_1 - v_2 = 0 \\ Av_1 + sv_2 + Dv_2 = 0 \end{cases}. \] (22)

We notice, that if \( B^T v_2 = 0 \) then \( Dv_2 = 0 \), since \( D = B^T g/\omega^4 \). Thus for any complex \( s \) the implication (22) is equivalent the implication (20). Therefore for any complex \( s \) the implication (22) holds and we obtained following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** Let \( D = B^T g/\omega^4 \). Then the system (21) is observable.

Now we turn to the proof that the maximal invariant subset \( S = \{0\} \). From \( \dot{V} = 0 \) (see (17) and (18)) it results in \( B^T \dot{x}(t) = 0 \) for \( 0 \leq t \lt \infty \). From Lemma 1, we know that the system (21) is observable. Thus we have \( x(t) = 0 \) and \( \dot{x}(t) = 0 \) for \( 0 \leq t \). Consequently we get \( E = S = \{0\} \). Summarising we obtained the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** Let \( G_1 = G, G_2 = 0 \) (see Fig. 1). Then the system (1), (2) or (3), (2) with \( u = 0 \) is globally asymptotically stable, i.e. the equilibrium point \( \{0\} \) is asymptotically stable and its domain of attraction covers the whole space \( R^n \times R^m \).

**4. Stabilisation by velocity feedback**

Now we consider the undamped second order system (see (1) or (3) with \( D = 0 \) given in the following form:

\[ \ddot{x}(t) + Ax(t) = Bu(t), \quad y(t) = B^T x(t), \] (23)

where \( u(t) \) is the scalar input and \( y(t) \) is the scalar output of the system. If

\[ u(t) = -K \ddot{y}(t), \quad K > 0, \] (24)

then the closed-loop systems becomes

\[ \ddot{x}(t) + D \ddot{x}(t) + Az(t) = 0, \quad y(t) = B^T \dot{x}(t), \] (25)

where \( D = B^T K \). For \( K = g/\omega^4 \) we obtained system (3), (2) with \( G_1 = G, G_2 = 0 \) and \( u = 0 \) (see Theorem 1).

**Remark 8.** The velocity feedback (24) asymptotically stabilises the system (23), (2), i.e. the system (25), (2) with \( D = B^T K \) is globally asymptotically stable.
5. Stabilisation by dynamic feedback

We consider the system (23) in the following form:
\[
\dot{z}(t) = \tilde{A}z(t) + \tilde{B}u(t), \quad y(t) = \tilde{C}z(t),
\]
\[
\tilde{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ -A & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ B \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{C} = \begin{bmatrix} B^T & 0 \end{bmatrix},
\]
where \(z(t)^T = [x(t) \quad \dot{x}(t)]\).

The pair \((A; B)\) is controllable (see Remark 2). Consequently the pair \((B^T; A)\) is observable, because \(A^T = A\). Thus the system (26) is controllable and observable (see Remark 4) and a full range Luenberger observer with linear regulator, i.e.
\[
\dot{\hat{w}}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{A} - \tilde{\tilde{G}}\tilde{C} \end{bmatrix} \hat{w}(t) + \tilde{\tilde{G}}y(t) + \tilde{\tilde{B}}u(t),
\]
\[
u(t) = \tilde{\tilde{K}} \hat{w}(t),
\]
may be used to stabilise the pair \((z(\cdot), w(\cdot))\). The closed-loop system (26), (27) is given by
\[
\begin{bmatrix} \dot{\hat{w}}(t) \\ \dot{\hat{y}}(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\tilde{A}} \\ \tilde{\tilde{\tilde{G}}} \tilde{\tilde{C}} - \tilde{\tilde{G}}\tilde{C} + \tilde{\tilde{K}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{w}(t) \\ \hat{y}(t) \end{bmatrix},
\]
where \(\tilde{\tilde{A}} = \tilde{C}(\cdot)\).

From classical result it can be shown that there exist \(\tilde{\tilde{G}}\) and \(\tilde{\tilde{K}}\) such that the system (28) is globally asymptotically stable (see [1]).

The range of the system (27) is equal to \(2n\). Similarly we can construct a reduce range Luenberger observer (with range equal \(2n - 1\)).

6. First range dynamic feedback

Now we consider system (26) or (23) with the dynamic feedback given in the following form:
\[
u(t) = -K(y(t) + \hat{w}(t)), \quad K > 0,
\]
\[
\hat{w}(t) = -aw(t) + bu(t), \quad a > 0, \quad b > 0,
\]
where \(\text{dim}\ u(t) = 1\). From (26) and (29) we obtain
\[
\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}(t) \\ \dot{\hat{x}}(t) \\ \dot{\hat{w}}(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I & 0 \\ -A & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ \dot{x}(t) \\ w(t) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ B \\ b \end{bmatrix} u(t),
\]
\[
s(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha bK^0 & \beta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ \dot{x}(t) \\ w(t) \end{bmatrix},
\]
\[
u(t) = -Ks(t), \quad K > 0
\]
where \(\alpha = 1\), \(\beta = 1\) and consequently the closed-loop system can obtain the following form:
\[
\begin{bmatrix} \dot{\hat{x}}(t) \\ \dot{\hat{w}}(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I & 0 \\ -[A + BKbK^T] & 0 & -BK \\ -bKbK^T & 0 & -[\alpha + bK] \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ \dot{x}(t) \\ w(t) \end{bmatrix}.
\]

Remark 9. We notice that for \(\alpha \neq 0\) and \(\beta \neq 0\) the block-diagonal system (30) with output \(s(t)\) is observable.

**Theorem 2.** If \(K > 0, \alpha > 0\) and \(b > 0\), then the closed-loop system (32) is globally asymptotically stable, i.e. Re\(\lambda(A) < 0\), where \(A\) is the state matrix of system (32).

Proof. The global asymptotic stability of the closed-loop system (32) is proved by LaSalle’s theorem [6]. Consider the Lyapunov function [8]
\[
V(x(t), \dot{x}(t), w(t)) = \frac{1}{2}\dot{x}(t)^T\dot{x}(t) + \frac{1}{2}x(t)^TAx(t)
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{2}aw(t)^2 + \frac{1}{2}K[w(t) + B^T x(t)]^2.
\]

We can notice that \(V(x, \dot{x}, w) > 0\) and \(V(x, \dot{x}, w) \to \infty\) if \([x \quad \dot{x} \quad w] \to \infty\). From (33) and (32) and from elementary calculations we finally obtained
\[
\dot{V}(x(t), \dot{x}(t), w(t)) = -b[\alpha \beta + K]w(t) + KB^T x(t)^2 \leq 0.
\]

By LaSalle’s theorem [6] the solutions of (32) asymptotically tend to the maximal invariant subset of \(E\), where
\[
E = \{ (x, \dot{x}, w) : \dot{V} = 0 \}.
\]
From \(\dot{V} = 0\) we have \(s(t) = 0, t > 0\) (see (30) with \(\alpha = \frac{\beta}{\beta} + K\) and \(\beta = K\)). The system (30) is observable (see remark 9), thus from \(s(t) = 0, t > 0\) we have \(x = 0, \dot{x} = 0, w = 0\) and (see (35)) finally it is easy to see that the largest invariant set contained in \(E = \{ 0 \}\) is the set \(S = \{ 0 \}\). We have proved the theorem.

7. Numerical examples

Our computations were performed using MATLAB package. Let’s consider the undamped system (23), (2) with \(LC = 1\) and \(n = 5\). Let \(x_1(0) = 0.2, x_i(0) = 0, i = 2, 3, 4, 5\). In Fig. 2 output trajectory \(y(t) = x_1(t)\) for \(K = 0.0\) is shown (see (31)).
Let $G_1 = G$, $G_2 = 0$ (see Fig. 1; stabilisation by inner resistance). In Fig. 3 an output trajectory $y(t) = x_1(t)$ for $G = 1$, $C = 1$ is shown.

Now we consider the stabilisation of the undamped system (23), (2) by the first range dynamic feedback (29). In Fig. 4 the trajectory $y(t) = x_1(t)$ of closed-loop system (32) for $K = 0.5$ and $a = 1$, $b = 1$, $w(0) = 0$ is shown (see (29)).

In Fig. 5 the trajectory $y(t) = x_1(t)$ of closed-loop system (32) for $K = 5$ and $a = 1$, $b = 1$, $w(0) = 0$ (see (29)) is shown.

The quality of stabilisation for $K = 5$ is higher than quality of stabilisation for $K = 0.5$ (see Fig. 4 and Fig. 5).

In Fig. 6 and 7 there is the root locus for $K > 0$ which shows that the closed-loop system given by (32) is asymptotically stable. In Fig. 6 and 7 $K > 0$ is equal to: 0, 0.0034, 0.0080, 0.0190, 0.0448, 0.1059, 0.2503, 0.5912, 1.3968, 2.3484, 3.3000 and $\infty$ respectively.
Remark 10. The quality of stabilisation can be characterised by norm of matrix $e^{\hat{A}t}$, where $\hat{A}$ is the state matrix of closed loop system (32).

Let $H(A) = \max_k \sqrt{\lambda_k(A^T A)}$ be the spectral norm of matrix $A$ [3]. In Figs. 8 and 9 there is shown the spectral norm's $H(e^{\hat{A}t})$ for $K = 0$ and $K = 5$ respectively, where $\hat{A}$ is the state matrix of closed loop system (32). In this case $LC = 1$, $a = 1$, $b = 1$ and $n = 5$.

8. Remarks

In this paper, we considered the stabilisation problem of the LC-ladder system (1) with $D = 0$ (undamped system). We studied the cases: stabilisation by inner resistance (see Remark 6 and Theorem 1), by velocity feedback (see Remark 8) and stabilisation by dynamic linear feedback, in particularly stabilisation by first range dynamic feedback (see Theorem 2). To prove that the respectively system is globally asymptotically stable, we have used LaSalle’s invariance principle [6]. In our stabilisation problem the observability of the system (1) with proper output played an essential role. Illustrative examples show the quality of stabilisation.

Numerical calculations were made using the MATLAB program.
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