

Reachability index of the positive 2D general models

T. KACZOREK*

Institute of Control and Industrial Electronics, Warsaw University of Technology, 75 Koszykowa St., 00-662 Warszawa, Poland

Abstract. It is shown that $2(n+1)$ is the upper bound for the reachability index of the n -order positive 2D general models.

Keywords: reachability index, positive 2D general model, upper bound.

1. Introduction

In recent years a growing interest in positive two-dimensional (2D) systems has been observed [1–9]. An overview of some recent results in positive systems has been given in the monographs [1,10] and papers [5–9] and on the controllability of 1D and 2D systems in [11]. The asymptotic behaviour of positive 2D systems and their internal stability have been investigated in [8,9]. The local reachability of positive 2D systems described by the second Fornasini-Marchesini models [2–4] has been analyzed in [5]. It was shown that the reachability index of the n -order positive 2D systems is not bounded by n .

In this note it will be shown that $2(n+1)$ is the upper bound for the reachability index of the n -order positive 2D systems described by the general model.

2. Problem formulation

Let $R^{n \times m}$ be the set of $n \times m$ real matrices and $R^n = R^{n \times 1}$.

Consider the 2D general model

$$x_{i+1,j+1} = A_0 x_{ij} + A_1 x_{i+1,j} + A_2 x_{i,j+1} + B_0 u_{ij} + B_1 u_{i+1,j} + B_2 u_{i,j+1} \quad (1a)$$

$$i, j \in Z_+ \text{ (the set of nonnegative integers)}$$

$$y_{ij} = C x_{ij} + D u_{ij} \quad (1b)$$

where $x_{ij} \in R^n$ is the local state vector at the point (i, j) , $u_{ij} \in R^m$ and $y_{ij} \in R^p$ are the input and output vectors and $A_k \in R^{n \times n}$, $B_k \in R^{n \times m}$, $k = 0, 1, 2$, $C \in R^{p \times n}$, $D \in R^{p \times m}$.

Boundary conditions for (1a) are given by

$$x_{i0}, i \in Z_+ \text{ and } x_{0j}, j \in Z_+ \quad (2)$$

Let R_+^n be the set of n -dimensional vectors with nonnegative components.

DEFINITION 1. The model (1) is called the positive 2D general model (P2DGM) if for all boundary conditions

$$x_{i0} \in R_+^n, i \in Z_+, x_{0j} \in R_+^n, j \in Z_+ \quad (3)$$

and every sequence of inputs $u_{ij} \in R_+^m$, $i, j \in Z_+$ we have $x_{ij} \in R_+^n$ and $y_{ij} \in R_+^p$ for $i, j \in Z_+$.

THEOREM 1 [10]. The model (1) is a P2DGM if and only if

$$A_k \in R_+^{n \times n}, B_k \in R_+^{n \times m}, k = 0, 1, 2, C \in R_+^{p \times n}, D \in R_+^{p \times m} \quad (4)$$

where $R_+^{p \times q}$ is the set of $p \times q$ real matrices with nonnegative entries.

The transition matrix T_{ij} of the model (1) is defined by

$$T_{ij} = \begin{cases} I_n & \text{(identity matrix) for } i = j = 0 \\ A_0 T_{i-1,j-1} + A_1 T_{i,j-1} + A_2 T_{i-1,j} & \text{for } i, j > 0 \text{ (} i + j > 0 \text{)} \\ 0 & \text{(zero matrix) for } i < 0 \text{ or/and } j < 0 \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

From (5) it follows that for P2DGM (1) $T_{ij} \in R_+^{n \times n}$ for $i, j \in Z_+$.

DEFINITION 2. The P2DGM (1) is called reachable at the point $(h, k) \in Z_+ \times Z_+$ if for zero boundary conditions (ZBC) (2) and every vector $x_f \in R_+^n$ there exists a sequence of inputs $u_{ij} \in R_+^m$ for $(i, j) \in D_{hk}$ such that $x_{hk} = x_f$, where

$$D_{hk} = \{(i, j) \in Z_+ \times Z_+ : 0 \leq i \leq h, 0 \leq j \leq k, i + j \neq h + k\}. \quad (6)$$

DEFINITION 3. The P2DGM (1) is called reachable for ZBC if it is reachable at any point $(h, k) \in Z_+ \times Z_+$. If $x_f \in R_+^n$ is reachable at the point (h, k) then it will be said that the state x_f is reached in $h + k$ steps. The number $h + k$ steps is called the reachability index of (1) and it will be denoted by I_R , i.e. $I_R = h + k$.

THEOREM 2 [10]. The P2DGM (1) is reachable for ZBC if and only if the reachability matrix

$$R_{hk} := [M_0, M_i^1, 1 \leq i \leq h; M_j^2, 1 \leq j \leq k; M_{ij}, 1 \leq i \leq h; 1 \leq j \leq k; i + j \neq h + k] \quad (7)$$

$$M_0 = T_{h-1,k-1} B_0, M_i^1 = T_{h-i,k-1} B_1 + T_{h-i-1,k-1} B_0, i = 1, \dots, h$$

$$M_j^2 = T_{h-1,k-j} B_2 + T_{h-1,k-j-1} B_0, j = 1, \dots, k$$

$$M_{ij} = T_{h-i-1,k-j-1} B_0 + T_{h-i,k-j-1} B_1 + T_{h-i-1,k-j} B_2, i = 1, \dots, h; j = 1, \dots, k, i + j \neq h + k$$

* e-mail: kaczorek@isep.pw.edu.pl

contains an $n \times n$ monomial matrix (in each of its rows and in each of its columns only one entry is positive and the remaining entries are zero).

For standard 1D n -order linear systems the reachability index is equal to n .

It is also known [5] that for standard (i.e. not necessarily positive) 2D general models the reachability index is equal to n ($I_R = n$) i.e. any local state of (1) starting from ZBC can be reached in $h + k$ steps for $h + k \leq n$.

For P2DGM (1) the set X_{h+k}^+ of all local states that can be reached in $h + k$ steps starting from ZBC by means of an input sequence $u_{ij} \in R_+^m$ coincides with the set of all nonnegative combinations of the columns of the matrix (7), i.e. $X_{h+k}^+ = \text{cone}R_{hk}$.

It is known [5] that the reachability index I_R of a positive 2D linear systems is not bounded by n .

In [5] it was shown that the reachability index of the system (1) with $A_0 = 0, B_0 = B_1 = 0$ and

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (8)$$

is equal to $I_R = 13$ (for $n = 7$). In [5] the conjecture was also given that $n^2/4$ represents an upper bound for the reachability index of every 2D positive system.

In this paper it will be shown that $2(n + 1)$ is the upper bound for the reachability index of the P2DGM.

3. Problem solution

Solution of the problem is based on the following lemma

LEMMA. Let

$$\det [I_n z_1 z_2 - A_0 - A_1 z_1 - A_2 z_2] = z_1^n z_2^n - \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i+j \neq 2n}}^n \sum_{i=0}^n d_{ij} z_1^i z_2^j. \quad (9)$$

Then the transition matrices T_{ij} (defined by (5)) satisfy the equations

$$T_{n+k,0} = A_2^{n+k} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d_{i0}^k A_2^i, k = 0, 1, \dots \quad (10a)$$

$$T_{0,n+l} = A_1^{n+l} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d_{0j}^l A_1^j, l = 0, 1, \dots \quad (10b)$$

$$T_{n+k,n+l} = \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i+j \neq 2n}}^n \sum_{j=0}^n d_{ij} T_{i+k,j+l} \text{ for } k, l = 1, 2 \quad (10c)$$

Proof. The relations (10a) and (10b) follow from the Cayley-Hamilton theorem applied to A_2 and A_1 , respectively.

Taking into account that

$$[I_n z_1 z_2 - A_0 - A_1 z_1 - A_2 z_2]^{-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} T_{ij} z_1^{-(i+1)} z_2^{-(j+1)} \quad (11)$$

we may write

$$\sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^n H_{ij} z_1^i z_2^j = \left(z_1^n z_2^n - \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i+j \neq 2n}}^n \sum_{i=0}^n d_{ij} z_1^i z_2^j \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} T_{ij} z_1^{-(i+1)} z_2^{-(j+1)} \right) \quad (12)$$

where $\sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^n H_{ij} z_1^i z_2^j$ is the adjoint matrix to the matrix $[I_n z_1 z_2 - A_0 - A_1 z_1 - A_2 z_2]$.

From comparison of the matrix coefficients at the same powers of $z_1^{-k} z_2^{-l}$ for $k, l = 0, -1, -2, \dots, k + l < 0$ of the equality (12) we obtain (10c).

THEOREM 3. If the P2DGM (1) is reachable then it is reachable in at most $2(n + 1)$ steps ($h \leq n, k \leq n$), i.e.

$$I_R \leq 2(n + 1) \quad (h \leq n, k \leq n). \quad (13)$$

Proof. If the P2DGM (1) is reachable then by Theorem 2 the reachability matrix (7) contains an $n \times n$ monomial matrix for $h + k \leq 2(n + 1)$ since by the equation (10) the columns M_i^1, M_j^2 and M_{ij} of (7) for $h + k \leq 2(n + 1)$ ($h \geq n, k \geq n$) are linear combinations of the columns of the matrix R_{hk} for $h + k \leq 2(n + 1)$ ($h \leq n, k \leq n$).

Example. Consider the P2DGM with

$$A_0 = 0, A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_0 = 0, B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, B_2 = 0. \quad (14)$$

Using (5) and (7) we obtain

$$T_{i0} = \begin{cases} A_2 & \text{for } i = 1 \\ 0 & \text{for } i > 2 \end{cases}, T_{20} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$T_{0j} = \begin{cases} A_1 & \text{for } j = 1 \\ 0 & \text{for } j > 2 \end{cases}, T_{02} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$T_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, T_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$T_{13} = 0, T_{14} = 0$$

$$T_{21} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, T_{22} = I_4, T_{23} = A_1,$$

$$T_{24} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$T_{31} = 0, T_{32} = A_2, T_{33} = T_{11}, T_{34} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$T_{41} = 0, T_{42} = T_{20}, T_{43} = T_{21}, T_{44} = I_4$$

and

$$R_{13} = [M_0, M_1^1, M_2^1, M_3^2, M_3^1, M_{11}, M_{12}] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$R_{31} = [M_0, M_1^1, M_2^1, M_3^1, M_1^2, M_{11}, M_{21}] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$R_{22} = [M_0, M_1^1, M_2^1, M_1^2, M_2^2, M_{11}, M_{12}, M_{21}] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$R_{33} = [M_0, M_1^1, M_2^1, M_3^1, M_1^2, M_2^2, M_3^2, M_{11}, M_{12}, M_{13}, M_{21}, M_{22}, M_{23}, M_{31}, M_{32}] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

From Theorem 2 it follows that the P2DGM with (14) is not reachable for $h + k \leq n = 4$ and it is reachable for $h + k = 6 > n^2/4$. The reachability index of the system satisfies the condition (13), i.e. $I_R = h + k = 6 < 2(n + 1) = 10$.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Farina and S. Rinaldi, *Positive Linear Systems. Theory and Applications*, New York: Wiley, 2000.
- [2] E. Fornasini and G. Marchesini, "Doubly indexed dynamical systems", *Math. Sys. Theory* 12, 59–72 (1978).
- [3] E. Fornasini and M. E. Valcher, "On the spectral and combinatorial structure of 2D positive systems", *Lin. Alg. & Appl.*, 245, 223–258 (1996).
- [4] E. Fornasini and M. E. Valcher, "Primitivity of positive matrix pairs: algebraic characterization, graph-theoretic description, 2D systems interpretation", *SIAM J. Matrix Analysis & Appl.*, 19 (1), 71–88 (1998).
- [5] E. Fornasini and M. E. Valcher, "On the positive reachability of 2D positive systems", *Positive Systems LNCIS 294*, 297–304 (2003).
- [6] J. Klamka, "Constrained controllability of positive 2-D systems", *Bull. Pol. Ac.: Tech.* 46(1), 95–104 (1998).
- [7] J. Klamka, "Controllability of 2-D systems: a survey", *Appl. Math. and Comp. Sci.* 7(4), 101–120 (1997).
- [8] M. E. Valcher and E. Fornasini, "State models and asymptotic behaviour of 2D positive systems", *IMA J. Math. Control and Information* 12, 17–36 (1995).
- [9] M. E. Valcher, "On the internal stability and asymptotic behavior of 2-D positive systems", *IEEE Trans. Circ. and Syst.* 44(7), 602–613 (1997).
- [10] T. Kaczorek, *Positive 1D and 2D systems*, Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2002.
- [11] J. Klamka, *Controllability of Dynamical Systems*, Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publ., 1991.